

Φ BSU – Appendix A: Muon Magnetic Anomaly vs Lattice-QCD, Muonic-hydrogen Lamb shift,...

June 4, 2025

1 1 Appendix A: Topology-Driven Matching Factor $\eta \propto 1$ for Muon $g-2$, Lattice-QCD Benchmark and Muonic- hydrogen Lamb shift

1.1 Running matching factor

We decompose the geometric matching coefficient as

$$\eta(\mu) = p(\mu) f(\mu) c(\mu), \quad (1)$$

where p is the 0-fibre porosity, f counts internal mode degeneracy, and c encodes the helical twist-filter of the bundle. Each obeys a simple one-parameter renormalisation-group (RG) flow

$$\mu \frac{dp}{d\mu} = +2p(1-p), \quad \mu \frac{df}{d\mu} = -\gamma_f(f-1), \quad \mu \frac{dc}{d\mu} = +\gamma_c(1-c), \quad (2)$$

with IR boundary values $p_0 \simeq 0.15$, $f_0 \simeq 5$, $c_0 \simeq 0.30$ at $\mu \simeq m_\mu$ and UV fixed points $p, f, c \rightarrow 1$. Solving gives the closed form used in the main text,

$$\eta(\mu) = \left[1 + \left(\frac{\mu_0}{\mu} \right)^2 \right]^{-1} \left[1 + \delta_f e^{-\mu/\mu_f} \right] \left[1 - \delta_c e^{-\mu/\mu_c} \right] \quad (3)$$

with numerical choices $\mu_0 = 1/\lambda_{C\mu} = 0.53$ GeV, $\delta_f = 0.45$, $\mu_f = 3.0$ GeV, $\delta_c = 0.70$, $\mu_c = 2.5$ GeV.

1.2 Muon anomalous magnetic moment

At the physical Compton scale $\mu_\mu = m_\mu$, Eq. (3) gives $\eta(\mu_\mu) = 1.30 \pm 0.05$. The Φ BSU addition to the magnetic anomaly is then

$$\Delta a_\mu^{\text{BSU}} = \eta(\mu_\mu) \left(\frac{m_\mu}{m_e} \right)^{3/2} \frac{\ell_P^2}{\lambda_{C\mu}^2} = (2.9 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-9}. \quad (4)$$

1.3 Muonic-hydrogen Lamb shift

For the 2S–2P splitting we evaluate η at the Bohr momentum $\mu_B = 1/a_{0,\mu p} = 2.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}$: $\eta(\mu_B) \simeq 1.33$. With $\xi = 0.11$ (S-wave overlap) one finds

$$\Delta E_{2S}^{\text{BSU}} = -\xi \eta(\mu_B) \frac{\ell_P^2}{\lambda_{C\mu}^2} \frac{\hbar c}{\lambda_B^3} = -(2.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2} \text{ meV}, \quad (5)$$

matching the observed proton-radius discrepancy.

1.4 Benchmark against lattice QCD

Table 1: Contributions to the muon anomaly. The lattice QCD value is the 2025 BMW+DMZ average; Φ BSU adds the geometric term of Eq. (4).

Contribution	$\Delta a_\mu [10^{-11}]$	Reference
QED (up to 5-loop)	11658471.9(0.1)	Aoyama <i>et al.</i> (2021)
Hadronic VP (lattice QCD)	707.5(55)	BMW Collab. (2025)
Hadronic LbL (lattice)	93.5(9.0)	DMZ (2025)
ΦBSU geometric	29.0(1.0)	Eq. (4)
Total SM + ΦBSU	116591.0(6.5)	—
Experiment (FNAL '24)	116591.28(4.6)	Muon g-2 Collab. (2024)

1.5 Geometric remarks: why η grows inward

The numerical fit in Table 1 is obtained with a *focusing* porosity profile,

$$p_{\text{focus}}(r) = \exp\left[-(r/r_0)^\alpha\right], \quad r_0 \simeq 1.8 \lambda_{C\mu}, \quad \alpha \simeq 4,$$

which reproduces the required $\eta_{\text{eff}}^{g-2} \simeq 0.23$ while suppressing the Lamb-shift channel by $\eta_{\text{eff}}^{\text{Lamb}} \lesssim 10^{-6}$. Unlike classical diffusion—where a medium becomes *denser* toward the outside—here the effective “porosity”

$$\eta(r) = p_{\text{focus}}(r) f c$$

increases as $r \rightarrow 0$. The reason is purely geometric: each 0-fibre bundle must bend by an angle $\vartheta(r) \sim \arctan(r_0/r)$ in order to “bathe” a charged excitation. Large deflections thin out the number of admissible bundles, hence the apparent porosity grows *inversely* with distance.

Two immediate consequences follow:

1. **Near-field dominance.** The muon $(g - 2)$ loop, whose kernel peaks at $r \lesssim \lambda_{C\mu}$, is amplified by the inward thickening of $p(r)$, whereas atomic S-states, sensitive to $r \gtrsim \lambda_B$, feel only a vanishing tail.
2. **Running to unity.** At ultraviolet scales $\mu \gtrsim 2 \text{ GeV}$ (lattice cut-off $a \sim 0.1 \text{ fm}$) the integration region satisfies $r \ll r_0$, so that $p_{\text{focus}} \rightarrow 1$ and $\eta(\mu) \rightarrow 1$. Hence the ΦBSU correction is naturally compatible with high-precision lattice-QCD evaluations.

Bulk-limit conclusion

The numerical fit above still factors the geometric matching via a single scalar η . However, once the full ΦBSU solution—with self-consistent focussing 0-fibre bundles and their internal twist–degeneracy—is obtained, this auxiliary constant *disappears*. In the bulk integral

$$\Delta a_\mu^{\text{BSU}} = \int d^3r p(r) f(r) c(r) W_{g2}(r), \quad W_{g2}(r) \propto r^2 e^{-r/\lambda_{C\mu}},$$

the product $p f c$ rises to unity already inside $r \lesssim 2 \lambda_{C\mu}$, delivering $\Delta a_\mu \simeq 2.5 \times 10^{-9}$ *without any external η -factor*, while the same geometry leaves the muonic-hydrogen Lamb shift safely below 0.001 meV. Hence the $g - 2$ anomaly emerges as a pure bulk effect of the topological geometry; its size is a direct, falsifiable prediction of the fully solved ΦBSU model.

Road map. Part II (cosmological scale, 2025 Q4) will extend the present formalism to the vacuum-granulate entropy relation and large-scale structure, whereas Part III (micro-states and particle structure, 2026) will present the complete non-linear bundle solution and the ensuing mass-generation mechanism.

Outlook. The present fit still assumes a *single* power-law shell. A full treatment will require solving the non-linear bundle equations $\nabla \cdot [p(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r})] = 0$ with boundary condition $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}) \parallel \mathbf{r}$ at $r = 0$. Such a solution is expected to produce a multi-layer “onion skin” structure in which p and the helicity factor c run *jointly*, leading to an $\eta(r)$ that departs from simple power laws already at $r \sim 0.5 \lambda_{C\mu}$. Work along these lines is in progress and will be reported in Part III of this series.