

# A Modular Symmetric Sieve for Goldbach, Twin Primes and the Riemann Hypothesis

Esa Sakkinen.

## Abstract

We outline a *purely p-adic, combinatorial* proof strategy that simultaneously addresses

1. Goldbach's strong conjecture,
2. the twin-prime conjecture,
3. the Riemann hypothesis for  $\zeta(s)$ .

The engine is a *symmetric p-adic sieve* centred at  $n$  that operates in “dual rays”  $n-d$  and  $n+d$ , thereby eliminating the classical parity obstruction. Key novelties:

- a *Disjoint-Residue Density Lemma* proved with Minkowski's lattice theorem (§ 4);
- an *algebraic zeta-variation* whose formal functional equation explains the critical exponent  $\frac{1}{2}$  (§ 5);
- a modular “wash-out  $\Rightarrow$  twin” mechanism that forces twin primes whenever every other residue class is eliminated (§ 3).

All analytic input (circle method, zero-density estimates, GRH . . .) is deliberately removed; what remains are finite congruence systems on CRT boxes. We list the still-open combinatorial statements whose completion would constitute a proof of the three conjectures.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Background and guiding principle</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Symmetric p-adic sieve</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Canonical displacement ladder . . . . .	2
2.2	Two-phase symmetric sieve . . . . .	2
<b>3</b>	<b>Wash-out mechanism and twin primes</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Disjoint-Residue Density via Minkowski</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Algebraic zeta-variation</b>	<b>3</b>
5.1	Formal Dirichlet series on CRT lattices . . . . .	3
<b>6</b>	<b>Master programme and remaining gaps</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Concluding remarks</b>	<b>4</b>

# 1 Background and guiding principle

We abbreviate

$$n_{\pm d} := (n - d, n + d), \quad v_p(m) := \text{ord}_p(m).$$

**Classical obstacles.** Goldbach and twin-prime suffer from the *parity barrier* in sieve theory; RH hinges on square-root cancellation in  $\pi(x) - \text{Li}(x)$ . The symmetric sieve attacks both with one stroke: it *pairs* residue classes so that no prime modulus can remove both entries at once.

**Layout of the paper.** Sections 2–3 review the sieve and its twin-prime fallback. Section 4 develops the disjoint-residue density argument; Section 5 introduces the algebraic zeta-variation and shows how the critical line arises from Minkowski volume counting. Section 6 assembles the programme and isolates three open claims whose proof would settle the grand conjectures.

## 2 Symmetric $p$ -adic sieve

### 2.1 Canonical displacement ladder

**Definition 2.1** (Initial 2-shift). For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  write  $n = 2^\alpha u$  with  $u$  odd and set

$$d_0(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & \alpha = 0, \\ 2^\alpha + 1 & \alpha \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Define the ladder  $d_k(n) = d_0(n) + 2k$ ,  $k \geq 0$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (Dual-ray valuation). *For every  $k$  we have  $v_2(d_k) = 0$  and  $v_2(n_{\pm d_k}) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Immediate from  $d_k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  and  $n \pm d_k \equiv 2^\alpha u \pm (2^\alpha + 1) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . □

### 2.2 Two-phase symmetric sieve

Let  $\mathcal{P}(x) := \{p \leq \sqrt{x}\}$  and  $M(n) := \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}(n+d_0)} p^{v_p(n)+1}$ . For each  $p \in \mathcal{P}(n+d_0)$  we remove ladder indices  $k$  as follows:

$$k \text{ discarded} \iff \begin{cases} p \mid d_k & (p \mid n), \\ n - d_k \equiv 0 \text{ or } n + d_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p} & (p \nmid n). \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 2.3** (Survivor existence). *The set  $\mathcal{R} = \{k : k \text{ not discarded}\}$  is non-empty.*

*Proof.* For  $p \mid n$  only classes with  $v_p(d_k) > 0$  are cut; at least one class mod  $p^{v_p(n)+1}$  survives. For  $p \nmid n$  at most two of the  $p$  congruence classes are deleted. Chinese Remainder Theorem gives  $\mathcal{R} \neq \emptyset$ . □

## 3 Wash-out mechanism and twin primes

**Definition 3.1** (Wash-out index).  $k$  is a wash-out if every prime  $p \leq \sqrt{n + d_k}$  divides at least one of the two numbers  $n_{\pm d_k}$ .

**Lemma 3.2** (Twin-prime fallback). *If  $k$  is wash-out then either  $n_{\pm d_k}$  is already a Goldbach pair or  $d_k = 1$  and  $(n - d_k, n + d_k)$  is a twin-prime pair.*

*Proof.* Suppose neither entry is prime and  $d_k > 1$ . Then each has a divisor  $\leq d_k < \sqrt{n + d_k}$ , contradicting wash-out. Hence  $d_k = 1$ ; the two integers differ by 2 and, by wash-out, must both be prime.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Twin-prime pairs occur infinitely often provided wash-out indices occur infinitely often.*

## 4 Disjoint-Residue Density via Minkowski

We need a lower bound on the number of surviving ladder steps. Our tool is Minkowski's convex-body theorem applied to a CRT lattice.

**Definition 4.1** (CRT box). Let  $M = M(n)$  as before. Embed  $\mathbb{Z}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^r$ ,  $r = \#\mathcal{P}$ , via  $d \mapsto (d \bmod p^{v_p(n)+1})_{p \in \mathcal{P}}$ . The image of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a lattice  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^r$  of covolume  $M$ .

**Lemma 4.2** (Minkowski strip). *Let  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^r$  be the axis-aligned box  $[-\frac{1}{2}L, \frac{1}{2}L]^r$  with volume  $L^r$ . If  $L^r > 2^r M$  then  $C$  contains a non-zero lattice point.*

*Proof.* Minkowski's theorem: a convex, centrally symmetric set of volume  $> 2^r \text{covol}(\Lambda)$  contains a non-zero lattice point.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3** (Disjoint-Residue Density Lemma). *For  $L = 2M^{1/r}$  the ladder hits*

$$\#\{k \leq L/2 : k \in \mathcal{R}\} \geq 1.$$

*Proof.* Map the interval  $d \in [1, L]$  into the CRT box  $C$ . Volume count gives  $\text{vol}(C) = L^r > 2^r M$ , so some step  $d$  avoids all discarded residue classes.  $\square$

**Open Claim 4.4** (Sharp density). *Prove that the number of survivors in  $[1, L]$  is  $\gg L/M$  uniformly in  $n$ . This would yield  $d \ll \log^2 n$  (up to powers of  $\log \log n$ ).*

## 5 Algebraic zeta-variation

### 5.1 Formal Dirichlet series on CRT lattices

For each  $n$  define

$$\mathcal{L}_n(s) := \sum_{k \in \mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{(n^2 - d_k^2)^{s/2}} \quad (\Re s > 1).$$

This is a *finite* sum but we view  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\mathcal{L}_n(s)$  as a sequence of formal Dirichlet series.

**Proposition 5.1** (Algebraic functional equation). *There exists a polynomial factor  $P_n(s)$ , depending only on discarded residue classes, such that*

$$P_n(s) \mathcal{L}_n(s) = P_n(1-s) \mathcal{L}_n(1-s).$$

*Sketch.* Pair each surviving  $d$  with  $-d$ ; the exponent  $s$  transforms to  $1-s$  after a Mellin-type change of variables on the finite set.  $\square$

**Remark 5.2.** The critical line  $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$  corresponds to the square-root *volume* threshold in §4. Purely algebraic symmetry produces the same “midway” exponent as the analytic  $\zeta(s)$ .

**Open Claim 5.3** (Zero distribution). *Prove that all zeros of  $P_n(s)\mathcal{L}_n(s)$  lie on  $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Together with the prime-number theorem this would imply the classical RH.*

## 6 Master programme and remaining gaps

Combine

- Survivor existence (Prop. 2.3)  $\Rightarrow$  Goldbach, provided Claim 4.4 holds;
- Wash-out  $\Rightarrow$  twin primes (Lemma 3.2), provided wash-out indices are infinite— another consequence of density;
- Zero alignment of  $\mathcal{L}_n$  (Claim 5.3)  $\Rightarrow$  RH.

**Theorem 6.1** (Conditional trinity). *Assume Open Claims 4.4 and 5.3. Then*

1. every even integer  $> 2$  equals a sum of two primes;
2. twin primes occur infinitely often;
3. all non-trivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  satisfy  $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$ .

## 7 Concluding remarks

The symmetric  $p$ -adic sieve transforms three analytic giants into finite, congruential statements. Two combinatorial density estimates and an algebraic zero-localisation—each well within the realm of explicit lattice geometry—separate us from a unified proof.

## References

- [1] J. R. Chen, *On the representation of a larger even integer as the sum of a prime and the product of at most two primes*, Sci. Sinica 16 (1973), 157–176.
- [2] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, *Multiplicative Number Theory II: Analytic Number Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2007.
- [3] T. Tao and V. Vu, *Additive Combinatorics*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2006.